

Joseph Wright  
2019-2020 School Year - Merit Academy  
August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2019  
"Scope & Sequence – Aims, Goals, and Objectives"

**Title:** “Mr. Wright’s Speech & Debate Semester Course”

**School / District:** Merit Preparatory Academy Charter School

**Forward:** This curriculum was derived in large part from the textbook *Writing & Rhetoric* by Brett McInelly & Brian Jackson. The authors generously donated these books with the knowledge that we would be utilizing them for this class, and for this we are sincerely grateful. It has then been modified by Mr. Joseph Wright, social studies teacher, with the assistance and support of his fellow faculty.

**Aims:** Speech and Debate with Mr. Wright is a fun and challenging class in which students will stretch themselves, face their fears, think deeply on important issues, learn to persuasively speak their opinions, and use their skills to compete in various competitions and events throughout the semester.

Each class begins with 20 or so minutes of discussion and debate on current events, politics, moral issues, and big ideas. During this time our students get to match wits with each other and Mr. Wright and are challenged to think more deeply than they ever have before.

In class students will gain thinking, writing, speaking, and debating skills and apply them to: Persuasive Writing, Impromptu Speaking, Extemporaneous Speaking, Oratory, Policy Debate, & More!

Students should be warned that this is not a class where they spend most of the time listening to the teacher. The majority of every class involves students thinking, discussing, researching, writing, and more than anything speaking and debating because A) it’s way more fun that way and B) that is how students learn best. In addition, by being in the class, students will compete in tournaments throughout the year against others from across the Utah and the nation. Competition is one of the most frightening, motivating, exhilarating, and educational experiences you will have in your entire life, but a worthwhile activity nonetheless.

Let’s be honest—Our world has no shortage of problems. Thankfully we live in a country that has always looked to its own people to determine the answers. We the People really do get to decide what our country will be. And that decision is made in the American free market of ideas. Those who can think deeply and speak persuasively are the ones who guide the discussion in our market of ideas. Students who take this class will be better prepared for higher education, business, and life in general, but most importantly, they will be the next generation of decision makers for our society.

**Table of Contents:** This scope and sequence document outlines the lessons, goals and objectives to be met by this course.

It also outlines the **time required** to cover the curriculum. We have ~1.5 hour long periods on a block schedule (2 the first week, 3 the next week, and so on). I have codified these into days, such as T1D4 (Term 1, Day 4), helping identify which term and which day the material is covered.

---

## 1. Intro to Speech & Debate

### 1. Learning to be Persuasive | T1D1 – D3

#### 1.1 Intro to the Class | T1D1

Introduce the class subject, class expectations, and the goals of our course

Familiarize ourselves with classroom routines, expectations, etc. in order to help the class flow more smoothly

*Assigned: Formal Assessment: **HW – Disclosure Document:** Due T1D2*

*Informal: Students will investigate how the class functions, in order to familiarize themselves with our daily goals, etc.*

Explore fallacious thinking examples daily in order to better recognize faulty logic, in order to strengthen own arguments

*Informal: Students explore the Fallacy of the Day: Poisoning the Well, and discuss examples of this, and its implications*

#### 1.2 Intro to Rhetoric (pp 1-6) | T1D2

Explore the art of rhetoric in order to utilize such skills in our class debates, discussions, etc.

Practice researching, planning, practicing, etc. in order to prepare for actual persuasive debates, competitions, etc.

*Informal: Students will practice such skills to better prepare themselves for debates, etc.*

Discover rhetoric and begin to estimate its value in order to develop debate skills & increase persuasive ability

*Informal: Students will read pp 1-6 of the text & discuss how to be more persuasive in speech & writing*

*Students explore Fallacy of the Day: Amazing Familiarity*

#### 1.3 Intro to Persuasive Writing (pp 6-17) | T1D3

Illustrate the worth of persuasive writing, and how it is a skill that requires lots of practice and finessing to develop

Research & discuss a pressing issue of your choice, in order to prepare yourself to write a persuasive essay on the subject

*Informal: Students will discuss a few chosen topics, and respectfully share their opinions (while finding holes in each others' logic as well)*

*Formal: **D3: APA-Style Persuasive Research Paper** Due: T1D5 (draft); T1D7 (draft); T1D8 (final)*

Investigate good writing practices in order to create persuasive arguments

*Informal: Students will read pp 6-17, and discuss topics (self-regulating, writing conventions, etc.) as a class*

*Students will explore / discuss the Fallacy of the Day: Strawman*

---

## 2. Creating Arguments (pp 21- 31) | T1D4 – D10

### 2.1 Intro to Writing / Creating Arguments (pp 21-23) | T1D4

Investigate what arguments are and how to make them work

Evaluate the essential elements of an argument in order to judge and create effective ones yourself

*Informal: Students will reflect on reading, and discuss as a class the importance of arguments in daily life*

Continue researching and writing your paper in order to editorialize and persuade others of your opinion on this issue

*Informal: Students will work on their persuasive essay*

*Students will explore / discuss Red Herring Fallacy*

### 2.2 Creating Arguments in Action (pp 24-26) | T1D5 – D8

Continue investigating arguments and claims

Distinguish the three types of claims (e.g. substance, quality, policy) in order to better understand creating an argument

*Informal: Students will discuss these as a class, analyzing examples, and creating examples / claims of their own*

Continue researching and writing your paper in order to editorialize and persuade others of your opinion on this issue

*Informal: Students will work on their persuasive essay*

*D5: Students will explore / discuss Appeal to Authority Fallacy*

D5, D7, D8 Formal: Students will present **drafts** of **D3 APA-Style Persuasive Research Paper** to the class, & get feedback

D6: Students will explore / discuss Broken Window Fallacy

D7: Students will explore / discuss Argument by Silence Fallacy

D8: Students will explore / discuss Pigheadedness Fallacy

### 2.3 Creating Arguments & Topoi (pp 26-31) | T1D9 – D10

Finalize discussing the creation of arguments / claims

Discuss what topoi are in order to utilize such tools in claim creation

*Informal: Students will discuss the reading*

*Formal: Unit 1 (Ch. 1-2) Quiz / Review: (Kahoot: <http://bit.ly/Debate-1>)*

D9: Students will explore / discuss Biased Sample Fallacy

D10: Students will explore / discuss False Dilemma Fallacy

---

## 2. Analyzing Arguments & Kairos (pp 35-67) | T1D11 – D17

### 3. Analyzing Arguments (pp 35-49) | T1D11 – D13

#### 3.1 Becoming an Argument Critic (pp 35-40) | T1D11

Investigate how to better analyze arguments, both obvious and subtle

Critique arguments (i.e. question the reason, check assumptions, create counter-claims, etc.) to assess & create your own

*Informal: Students will discuss reading (pp 35-40) and review example arguments, and practice appraising them, their claims, assumptions, and potential rebuttals*

D11: Students will explore / discuss Alleged Certainty Fallacy

#### 3.2 Audience Acknowledgement (pp 40-43) | T1D12

Assess the importance of audience and how & why it matters

Identify the audience of any argument, in order to create arguments that will appeal / convince them

*Informal: Students will debate the importance of identifying audience, and how this ties into appraising an argument*

D12: Students will explore / discuss Questionable Cause Fallacy

Practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate / persuasive essay assignment

*Informal: Students will be practicing in prep for other competitions (written & live)*

*Formal Assessment: **D12 APA-Style Editorial Persuasive Research Paper**; Due: D17 (1st Draft, 50 pts); Due: D19 (2nd Draft, 50 pts); Due: D21 (Final, 100 pts)*

#### 3.3 Arguments Finalized (pp 43-45) | T1D13

Review analysis of arguments, components, etc.

Summarize how to analyze arguments, their parts, their intent, etc., in order to create better arguments & rebut others

*Informal: Students will review text, and discuss examples and analyze sample arguments, picking them apart*

D13: Students will explore / discuss Wishful Thinking Fallacy

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate / persuasive essay assignment

*Informal: Students will be practicing / researching / etc. in prep for other competitions (written & live)*

## 4. Kairos & Rhetorical Situation (pp 49-66) | T1D14 – D17

### 4.1 Intro to Kairos (pp 49-53) | T1D14

Connect the importance of the rhetorical situation, Kairos, and communities

Analyze what “rhetorical situation” (i.e. context) is, in order to justify when the best time to make an argument is

*Informal: read pp 49-51 and understand the connections of any rhetorical situation (between speaker, issue, & audience)*

Contrast the difference between **Kairos** and **Kronos**, in order to utilize Kairos in making persuasive arguments

*Informal: Students will discuss kairotic examples of times in their lives (e.g. 9/11, a personal tragedy, etc.)*

Distinguish what communities exist, and which are appropriate, in order to plan for making appropriate & more powerful arguments

*Informal: Students will assess and discuss what communities exist, and when and where an appropriate community may be for different discussions / arguments. What will work, or not?*

*D14: Students will explore / discuss Ad Hominum / Personal Attack Fallacy*

#### 4.2 Discourse & The Rhetorical Life Cycle (pp 54-59) | T1D15

Examine how discourse communities and the rhetorical life cycle factor into making powerful arguments

Specify discourse communities in order to reframe arguments for the proper language, beliefs, & values of those communities

*Informal: Students will examine cases where this is the case (i.e. college setting, ethnic groups, religious, etc.)*

Group the various parts of the rhetorical life cycle in order to plan for when a particular argument would be most potent

*Informal: Students will explore examples of issues and evaluate where they are in the rhetorical life cycle, & discuss why that matters*

*D15: Students will explore / discuss the Cherry-Picking Fallacy*

#### 4.3 Decorum (pp 60-63) | T1D16 – D17

Review past chapters' material (rhetorical situation, Kairos, argument parts, audience, communities, etc.) & explore decorum

Review the various parts of the rhetorical life cycle in order to plan for when a particular argument would be most potent

*Informal: Students will continue to explore examples of issues and evaluate where they are in the rhetorical life cycle, & discuss why that matters*

*D17: Formal: **Unit 2 (Ch. 3-4) Quiz / Review:** (Kahoot: <http://bit.ly/Debate-2>)*

Explore what decorum is, in order to select the appropriateness of its use, as well as ignoring it when it may be effective

*Informal: Students will discuss decorum, when and where it should or shouldn't be observed, etc.*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate / persuasive essay assignment

*Formal Assessment: **D12 APA-Style Editorial Persuasive Research Paper**; Due: D17 (1st Draft, 50 pts)*

*D16: Students will explore / discuss the Slippery Slope Fallacy*

*D17: Students will explore / discuss the Cherry-Picking Fallacy*

---

### 3. Rhetorical Proofs & the Power of the Word (pp 67-115) | T1D18 – T2D8

#### 5. Rhetorical Proofs (Ethos, Pathos & Logos) (pp 67-96) | T1D18 – T2D19

##### 5.1 Ethos Explained (pp 67-76) | T1D18

Review the Aristotelian proofs, particularly *ethos*

Evaluate and discuss *ethos*, in order to utilize this proof in debate and persuasion

*Informal: Students will read and discuss examples of ethos they have witnessed*

Distinguish the various ways to establish *ethos* in order to be more persuasive as a debater

*Informal: read pp 71-74 and discuss how each of the strategies can function in establishing credibility*

*D18: Students will explore / discuss the Ad Populum Fallacy*

##### 5.2 Pathos & Logos (pp 76-93) | T1D19

Review the Aristotelian proofs, particularly *pathos* & *logos*

Evaluate and discuss *pathos* & *ethos*, in order to utilize these proofs in debate and persuasion

*Informal: Students will read and discuss examples of both *pathos* & *logos* they have witnessed*

Distinguish the various ways to establish *ethos* in order to be more persuasive as a debater

*Informal: read pp 71-74 and discuss how each of the strategies can function in establishing credibility*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate / persuasive essay assignment

*Formal Assessment: D12 APA-Style Editorial Persuasive Research Paper; Due: D19 (2<sup>nd</sup> Draft, 50 pts)*

*D19: Students will explore / discuss the Appeal to Ignorance Fallacy*

### 5.3 Persuasive Editorial Work / Feedback Days | T1D20-D21

Continue working on essays / practicing debate

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate / persuasive essay assignment

*Formal Assessment: D12 APA-Style Editorial Persuasive Research Paper; Due: D21 (Final Draft, 100 pts)*

*D20: Students will explore / discuss the No True Scotsman Fallacy*

*D21: Students will explore / discuss the Argument by Fast Talking / Gibberish Fallacy*

## 6. The Power of the Word (pp 108-114) | T2D1 – D4

### 6.1 The Sophists & Connotations (pp 97-100) | T2D1

Examine how the sophists used rhetoric persuasively, though perhaps unethically

Critique how the sophists utilized rhetoric, in order to utilize rhetoric in an ethical and morally defensible way

*Informal: Students will discuss how rhetoric can be used in good and bad ways, share examples*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue, and give each other feedback*

*D1: Students will explore / discuss the Appeal to Personal Charm Fallacy*

### 6.2 Diction (pp 100-103) | T2D2

Evaluate how word choice can matter

Explore the importance of connotations in order to develop a persuasive argument using careful word choice

*Informal: Students will explore examples of careful word choice & their impact in debate*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue, and give each other feedback*

*D2: Students will explore / discuss the Incomplete Comparison Fallacy*

### 6.3 Figurative Language (pp 103-107) | T2D3

Discover how figurative language can be persuasive as a rhetorical tool

Distinguish various types of figurative language and their uses as rhetorical devices

*Informal: Students will explore these tools (allusion, imagery, personification, etc.) & discuss their use in debate*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

*D3: Students will explore / discuss the Avoiding the Issue Fallacy*

### 6.4 Bringing it All Together (pp 108-114) | T2D4

Review how figurative language and word choice, combined with tone, can be effective

Assess how tone, with words and figurative language, can be a mighty tool for persuasion

*Informal: Students will collaborate and discuss together how these tools can be effective*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

D4: Students will explore / discuss the Galileo Defense Fallacy

D4: Formal: **Unit 3 (Ch. 5-6) Quiz / Review:** (Kahoot: <http://bit.ly/Debate-3>)

---

#### 4. Finding & Using the Available Means (pp 119-140; 163-184) | T2D5 – D21

##### 7. Inventing an Argument (pp 119-140) | T2D5 – D12

###### 7.1 The 5 Canons of Rhetoric (pp 119-123) | T2D5 – D6

Illustrate the 5 Canons of Rhetoric & their importance in speech & debate

Overview the canons (i.e. invention, arrangement, style, memory & delivery) in order to incorporate each into your debating

*Informal: Students will review and argue about why each matters, which they think is most important, & why*

Focus on how classical invention has two main components: broad education, & learning strategies to create arguments,

In order to prepare students for such discussions / debates

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

D5: Students will explore / discuss the Appeal to Desperation Fallacy

D6: Students will explore / discuss the Skittles Fallacy

###### 7.2 Topics & Stases (pp 123-128) | T2D7 – D8

Review how *topoi* / “topics” and “stasis” can help drive argument formation

Distinguish the various examples of Aristotle’s *topoi* / topics in order to quickly create arguments of your own

*Informal: Students will recognize and utilize such “places” / “topics” (i.e. comparison by difference / similarity, authority, maxims, precedents, division into parts, etc.) in argument invention / creation through examples*

D7: Students will explore / discuss the Failure to Elucidate / Clarify Fallacy

Explore how the ancient “stases” can be utilized in order to decide how to approach an audience & with what argument

*Informal: Students will discuss the stases (i.e. Fact, Definition, Quality, Procedure, etc., p. 127) and their distinctions*

D8: Students will explore / discuss the Non Sequitur / “It Does Not Follow” Fallacy

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

###### 7.3 Research as Invention (pp 128-130) | T2D9 – D10

Discover how research for a debate and for a persuasive paper are similar (and different), and utilize in practice

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

D9: Students will explore / discuss the Nirvana / Perfect Solution Fallacy

Illustrate how research is a crucial part to being persuasive

*Informal: Students will read pp 128-130, and use research to help them create a clear argument that they can defend*

D10: Students will explore / discuss the Weak / False Analogy Fallacy

###### 7.4 A Case Study of Research / Invention (pp 131-137) | T2D11 – D12

Explore a case study involving creating an argument, research, and final position with support and evidence

Analyze the good / bad things that Sam did in the case study, in order to provide feedback for your own research

*Informal: Students will discuss what they feel Sam did right, what he could have improved on, things that we might do like Sam, how to improve our own experiences, etc.*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

*D11: Students will explore / discuss the Appeal to Force Fallacy*

*D12: Students will explore / discuss the Hasty Generalization Fallacy*

## **9. Style & Delivery (pp 163-184) | T2D13 – D21**

### **9.1 Clarity & Coherence (pp 163-169) | T2D13 – D14**

Synthesize past & present knowledge with style to be effectively persuasive

Explore style and its components (i.e. clarity, coherence, etc.) in order to utilize such tools in own rhetoric

*Informal: Students will read textbook and discuss importance of clarity & coherence in arguments, etc.*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

*D13: Students will explore / discuss the Circular Reasoning Fallacy*

*D14: Students will explore / discuss the Special Pleading Fallacy*

### **9.2 Conciseness & Voice (pp 169-175) | T2D15 – D16**

Augment previous knowledge & skills with consideration of conciseness & voice

Illustrate examples of conciseness in order to demonstrate how words (usually less words) have power

*Informal: synonyms are shared, and students decide which are more potent in which situations and why*

*D15: Students will explore / discuss the Alphabet Soup Fallacy*

Continue to practice researching, discussing, giving feedback, etc. in order to prepare for our final debate

*Informal: Students will discuss resolution regarding current issue (immigration), and give each other feedback*

Demonstrate the potency of voice in order to integrate such skills into student rhetoric

*Informal: Students will practice utilizing voice in increasingly better ways*

*D16: Students will explore / discuss the Equivocation / Doublespeak Fallacy*

### **9.3 Delivery, Usage & Layout (pp 175-177; 181-185) | T2D17**

Consider how delivery, word usage & argument layout matter in creating an effective argument

Adapt delivery (body language, voice, etc.) in order to deliver a superior argument

Evaluate usage (i.e. choice of words) in order to assess when to use (or not) specific vocabulary, etc.

Assess how to adapt written layout of an argument in order to effectively translate such organization to a spoken argument

*Informal: Students will Think, Pair and Share each of these factors and discuss how they improve their rhetoric*

*D17: Students will explore / discuss the Lying with Statistics Fallacy*

### **9.4 Final Practice, Review & Reflection | T2D18 – D21**

Reflect on effective rhetoric, including tone, voice, connotations, research, sources, figurative language, etc.

Review & reflect on ch. 7 and 9 (Inventing an argument; Style & Delivery) and utilize these skills in action

*Formal: Students will utilize their rhetorical skills and knowledge to effectively debate at our final competition*

*D20: Formal: **Unit 4 (Ch. 7 & 9) Quiz / Review:** (Kahoot: <http://bit.ly/Debate-4>)*